

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD PRESENT: 3 GAYLE MILLER, Chief Deputy Director, Policy, Department of Finance, designated representative for Keely Bosler, 4 Director, Department of Finance 5 DANIEL KIM, Director, Department of General Services 6 CESAR DIAZ, Appointee of Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Former Governor of the State of California 7 LUPITA CORTEZ ALCALA, Chief Deputy Superintendent, 8 California Department of Education 9 SENATOR RICHARD ROTH 10 ASSEMBLYMEMBER PATRICK O'DONNELL 11 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE ALLOCATION BOARD PRESENT: 12 LISA SILVERMAN, Executive Officer 13 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION (OPSC) PRESENT: 14 LISA SILVERMAN, Executive Officer 15 BARBARA KAMPMEINERT, Deputy Executive Officer 16 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES PRESENT: 17 LANCE DAVIS, Staff Counsel 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 MS. MILLER: We will call the meeting to order. 3 4 It's actually relatively short, so hopefully we will -- but 5 really exciting, so, Ms. Jones, can you please call the 6 roll. 7 MS. JONES: Certainly. Senator Wilk. 8 Senator Leyva. 9 Senator Roth. 10 SENATOR ROTH: Here. 11 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian. 12 Assemblymember O'Donnell. 13 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Here. 14 MS. JONES: Assemblymember Cunningham. 15 Lupita Cortez Alcala. 16 MS. ALCALA: Here. 17 MS. JONES: Cesar Diaz. 18 MR. DIAZ: Here. 19 MS. JONES: Daniel Kim. 20 MR. KIM: Here. 21 MS. JONES: Gayle Miller. 22 MS. MILLER: Here. 23 MS. JONES: We have a quorum. 24 MS. MILLER: Great. Thank you. Before we begin, 25 I just want to note that the appeal item for Laytonville

Unified under Tab 6 has been withdrawn from the agenda.
 Also before we get on with the rest of the agenda, I just
 want to provide the Board with a brief update on the status
 of one of pending lawsuits.

Last week, Orange County Superior Court rendered a
decision in the consolidated petitions by Coalition for
Adequate School Housing along with Cypress, Savanna, Central
Unified, and Bakersfield, Palo Verde Unified and the Santa
Ana Unified School Districts.

10 They've challenged the State Allocation Board's 11 2017 decision not to apply retroactively several years of 12 construction cost index increases to project applications 13 filed in 2011 and '12 which had been approved and then 14 placed on the then existent approved beyond bond authority 15 wait list. Obviously -- thank you, Mr. O'Donnell -- some of 16 this has been changed under current law.

Unfortunately, the decision went against the SAB
as the Court was concerned with what it perceived to be a
disparity in the treatment between the subject applications
and apportionments made concerning other projects that had
been placed on the approved beyond bond authority list.

SAB's in-house counsel and outside litigation
counsel with the AG's office are conferring and discussing
the plan going forward, which may involve a post-judgment
motion to vacate the decision and/or an appeal, and we'll

1 definitely keep you posted as those discussions continue. 2 We aren't going to have a lengthy discussion about 3 that today because we're discussing it. Should it become 4 necessary, we would agendize it at a later meeting, but for 5 now, Board members, this is important to decline to discuss 6 the matter with anyone, included by not limited to school 7 district authorities and their staff, lobbyists, 8 consultants, and attorneys. Any persons wishing to discuss the matter should 9 10 be referred to Mr. Tom Patton. He is the Assistant Chief 11 Counsel at DGS's Office of Legal Services. And I'm going 12 to -- especially for those of you in the audience, I'm going 13 to be sure to give you his phone number. It is 916-376-5113 14 or you can email him at Thomas, T-h-o-m-a-s, dot Patton, 15 P-a-t-t-o-n, at DGS as in Department of General Services, 16 dot CA dot GOV. 17 So with that, I'm going to move on and I know 18 there is one person here from CASH and we'll get to that at 19 the end of the agenda for public comment. 20 So our first action item is approval for the 21 August 28th meeting Minutes. Is there any public comment on 22 that? 23 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Move them. 24 MR. DIAZ: Second. 25 It's been moved by Mr. O'Donnell, MS. MILLER:

1 seconded by Mr. Diaz. Ms. Jones, please call the roll. 2 MS. JONES: Senator Roth. 3 SENATOR ROTH: Aye. 4 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell. 5 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye. 6 MS. JONES: Lupita Cortez Alcala. 7 MS. ALCALA: Abstain. 8 MS. JONES: Cesar Díaz. 9 MR. DIAZ: Aye. 10 MS. JONES: Daniel Kim. 11 MR. KIM: Aye. 12 MS. JONES: Gayle Miller. 13 MS. MILLER: Aye. So we'll go ahead and wait to 14 see if we get another member on that. 15 MS. JONES: Great. And we'll keep the roll open. 16 Thank you. 17 MS. ALCALA: I can vote for it on behalf of Juan 18 Mireles. He says that he approves everything on the 19 Minutes, if you need another vote. 20 MS. MILLER: Perfect. Thank you. 21 MS. ALCALA: So aye. 22 MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it. 23 And that motion carries. 24 MS. MILLER: Thank you. Ms. Silverman, the 25 Executive Officer's Statement.

MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. We're excited today. We
 definitely want to be part of the Consent Agenda -- is your
 approval tonight is really important.

So we have \$771.7 million as part of the Consent
Agenda and that's a result of the bond sale this fall. So
193 projects will have cash available. And so this is
really important to you folks, just as a commitment of the
Governor's budget. So again, we're excited about that.

9 We will keep the doors open on Christmas Eve
10 because your paperwork is due to us Christmas Eve. So
11 please do it beforehand. If not, we'll be handing -- we'll
12 be there with bells holding open the doors. So, please,
13 submit fund release requests early.

14 The second item was want to share tonight is the 15 full-day kinder facilities program. We'll have a 16 stakeholders meeting. As part of the Governor's budget for 17 '19-'20, there was an additional \$300 million for the 18 program. So we're excited about that. With that, we're 19 proposing two stakeholder meetings, one tomorrow here right 20 in this room, Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., seeking 21 input on the changes and again we're looking for a robust 22 conversation with that.

The second meeting is scheduled for October 16th.
That's from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. here in Sacramento, but
a location will be defined. So again, looking for a robust

conversation, some input from our stakeholders, and we're
 excited about this new opportunity of 300 million.

The next item we'd like to share is the sixth
round opened for career tech education. We did share with
the Board last month that the round is open, so exciting
news. We have \$250 million available for school districts.
So let's come in. Let's apply for the program. Let's be
robust about it.

9 But we want to just remind folks that the
10 Department of Education are accepting the applications. If
11 you haven't already applied, please submit those
12 applications by December 2nd.

MS. MILLER: Great.

13

MS. SILVERMAN: And then we have timelines associated with those applications as well with our office by April 6th. So if you have a score of 105 or higher, they're going to be submitting you letters on a flow basis and again, once you receive your letter and you have 105 or higher, you can apply for program funds. So please do it and we're encouraging folks to do that electronically.

21And then our next meeting's October 23rd at222:00 p.m.

MS. MILLER: October 23rd. Thank you. Is there
any public comment on Ms. Silverman's statement? Could I
just take a moment to Mr. O'Donnell and Sophia Kim and Chris

Ferguson just to congratulate you on the passage of AB-48 and all the work that went into that and especially also to Barbara and Lisa who were here on the weekends with Sophia really working to get a \$15 billion school bond passed. It was a huge team effort and I think makes a huge difference for the State of California. We're really grateful, so thank you very much.

(Applause)

8

18

19

24

9 MS. MILLER: So if there are no objections
10 considering the action item for the purposed regulation
11 amendments to the rural and suburban definitions under Tab 7
12 is aligned with the Board's action at last month's meeting,
13 could we include this as part of the Consent item? Is
14 everyone okay with that? Great.

15 Then is there any public comment on those items?
16 Nope? Great. Is there a motion and a second on the Consent
17 Agenda?

ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Move.

MR. NAZARIAN: Second.

MS. MILLER: Moved by Mr. O'Donnell, seconded by
Mr. Nazarian. Ms. Jones will you call the roll, please.
MS. JONES: Certainly. Senator Roth.
SENATOR ROTH: Aye.

MS. JONES: Assemblymember Nazarian.

25 MR. NAZARIAN: Aye.

1 MS. JONES: Assemblymember O'Donnell. 2 ASSEMBLYMEMBER O'DONNELL: Aye. 3 MS. JONES: Lupita Cortez Alcala. MS. ALCALA: Aye. 4 5 MS. JONES: Cesar Díaz. 6 MR. DIAZ: Aye. 7 MS. JONES: Daniel Kim. 8 MR. KIM: Aye. 9 MS. JONES: Gayle Miller. 10 MS. MILLER: Aye. 11 MS. JONES: And that motion carries. 12 MS. MILLER: Thank you very much. Ms. Silverman, 13 are we --14 MS. SILVERMAN: Yeah. Last is **Financials**. So it 15 doesn't require a vote, but if I can grab your attention to 16 page 307. Just want to share with the Board we had 17 apportionments out in April and we did disburse 18 \$10.7 million as far as our activity related to those 19 apportionments and that was over \$400 million. 20 And as part of the Consent Agenda, we did 21 highlight the approvals with the bond sales and 193 projects 22 for \$771 million was a part of the Consent Agenda, but we 23 also want to highlight -- we've been on an aggressive 24 schedule with approving applications or submitting the 25 applications for your approval.

1 So tucked away in the Consent Agenda is 2 \$128 million in new project approvals, so that represents 43 3 projects and also we have over \$4.8 million in program funds 4 returning back to the program. So again, that's a part of 5 our accountability component. So just want to highlight that to the Board. 6 7 MS. MILLER: Great. Thank you very much. Any 8 questions on that? Any public comment? 9 So before we move to adjournment, we do have one 10 person speaking on an item not on the agenda, just under 11 public comment. So Mr. Terry Tao. So everyone squeezed 12 this meeting in and we're very excited about the allocation, 13 but, Mr. Tao, if you could please keep your comments limited this afternoon. 14 15 MR. TAO: Of course. Thank you. 16 MS. MILLER: Thank you very much. 17 MR. TAO: I'm Terry Tao. I'm own of the attorneys 18 for the petitioners in the Orange County Superior Court case 19 including CASH. 20 MS. MILLER: Mr. Tao, I'm going to say we're happy 21 to take public comment, but as I stated at the outset, we're 22 not going to actually have a discussion on that. 23 MR. TAO: Understood. 24 MS. MILLER: Thank you. 25 MR. TAO: As you know the State Allocation Board

has been involved in a lawsuit concerning the construction
 cost index adjustments, often called the CCI, with a number
 of school districts and CASH representing its membership
 school districts.

On Monday, September 16th, the Orange County
Superior Court issued a ruling that the petitioners on what
is called the true unfunded list were entitled to the CCI
adjustment from 2012 through 2017.

9 Those on the unfunded list had requested a hearing
10 before the State Allocation Board to address the missing
11 CSI, but had been unilaterally denied a hearing by the SAB
12 Chair at that time.

13 Thus, for some of you, this may be the first
14 public meeting where you've heard about the issue. Some of
15 you weren't on the SAB at the time this issue arose, so I
16 apologize that you're learning about this for the first time
17 here perhaps.

18 The CCI adjustment required under the order should 19 be issued as an order to the SAB shortly, likely sometime in 20 the month of October. The petitioners and CASH would urge 21 the State Allocation Board to issue the CCI adjustments from 22 2012 through 2017 required under Court order as quickly as 23 possible so the school districts that were involved would be 24 paid, but also not just for the school districts that were 25 involved in the lawsuit, but also for the entire unfunded

list that did not receive the CCI adjustment so that they can all be treated equitably. Thank you very much. MS. MILLER: Thank you very much. Any other public comment? Any questions from the Board? Oh, sorry. Ms. Jones. MS. JONES: Can I get Assemblymember Nazarian's vote on the Minutes, please. MS. MILLER: Oh. MR. NAZARIAN: Aye. MS. JONES: Thank you. MS. MILLER: Thank you. Well, thank you and thank you so much, Lisa and Barbara. We're really excited about this allocation and the meeting is adjourned. (Whereupon, at 2:14 p.m., the proceedings were adjourned.) 

14 1 TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 ) ) SS. COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 4 ) 5 6 I, Mary C. Clark, a Certified Electronic Court Reporter and Transcriber, Certified by the American 7 8 Association of Electronic Reporters and Transcribers, Inc. 9 (AAERT, Inc.), do hereby certify: 10 That the proceedings herein of the California 11 State Allocation Board, Public Meeting, were duly transcribed 12 by me; That the foregoing transcript is a true record of 13 14 the proceedings as recorded; 15 That I am a disinterested person to said action. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name on 17 September 27, 2019. 18 19 20 21 Mary C. Clark AAERT CERT\*D-214 22 Certified Electronic Court Reporter and Transcriber 23 24 25